

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 3355 09/28/2001 Tsunetoshi Arikado 04329.2683 09/964,759 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/16/2004 RUDY, ANDREW J Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315 3627

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/964,759 Examiner	ARIKADO ET AL.
		\
The MAILING DATE of this communic	Andrew Joseph Rudy	the correspondence address
Period for Reply	adon appears on the cover sheet with	uie correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ttory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH! III, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	to be timely filed i0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>27 August 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b	o)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12 and 19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18 and 20-26</u> is/are reject	ted.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•	19(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority do		
2. Certified copies of the priority do		
	the priority documents have been rec	ceived in this National Stage
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action	` "	polycod
See the attached detailed Office actions	ior a list of the certilled copies not rec	ervea.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTCB) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT 		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/964,759 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Figure 13, claims 13-18 and 20-26, in the reply filed on August 27, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claim 1-12 and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on August 27, 2004.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does correspond to the claim language presented for examination. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 13-18 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,759 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Claim 13, lines 7-17, are not clear as no support in the descriptive portion of the specification, e.g. pages 37-41, contain such language to support such claim language. Similar issues arise from the other claims 14-18 and 20-26.

Applicant is requested to particularly direct where such support for the specific claim language is found in the descriptive portion of the specification in juxtaposition to the drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 13-18 and 20-26, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugibayashi, US 5,305,265.

Sugibayashi discloses a semiconductor device comprising a plurality of cell arrays, e.g. 1, a selection circuit, e.g. 6, an array selection circuit, e.g. 5, fuses, e.g. F1-F11, and outputting signals, e.g. Y1. Sugibayashi does not disclose all Applicant's intended use language, e.g. for generating . . . " and "being adapted to define . . . " as recited. However, such generating and adaptation for circuits has been common knowledge in the semiconductor art. To have provided such for Sugibayashi would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

8. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,759 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Froly